

# **From Logic Forms to ASP query answering**

by  
Marcello Balduccini

May 12, 2005

# Outline

## ⇒ Introduction

- Issues Involved
- Approach
- Overall Achievements
- Technical Achievements
- Conclusions

# Motivation

- LCC's NLP tool (*NLPt*):

natural language statements  
↓  
statements in a formal language (*Logic Forms*)

- TTU's reasoning/query-answering system (*TTUq*):

knowledge encoded in a formal language (*A-Prolog*)  
↓  
Q/A involving fairly deep reasoning

## Objective

*To connect the two systems, and form the basis of a query-answering tool that accepts natural language queries.*

## NLPt's Output Language

Output of NLPt: sequence of statements of the form

$$\langle word, POS, sense \rangle (p_1, p_2, \dots)$$

where:

- *word*: word being described;
- *POS*: part of speech assigned to *word* (VB, NN, NE, NNC, CC, ...);
- *sense*: sense (from WordNet's database) in which *word* is used (may be omitted if *word* has only one sense);
- $p_i$ 's: constants denoting statements in some relation with the given one.

## Example of NLPt's Language

### Text

John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad.

### Logic Form

John_NN( $x1$ )	“John is a noun and is denoted by $x1$ ”
& take_VB_11( $e1,x1,x2$ )	“verb take; sense 11; denoted by $e1$ ; subject is $x1$ ; object is $x2$ ”
& plane_NN_1( $x2$ )	“noun plane; sense 1; denoted by $x2$ ”
& from_IN( $e1,x3$ )	“location (type <i>from</i> ) of $e1$ is $x3$ ”
& Paris_NN( $x3$ )	“noun Paris; denoted by $x3$ ”
& to_TO( $e1,x4$ )	“location (type <i>to</i> ) of $e1$ is $x4$ ”
& Baghdad_NN( $x4$ )	“noun Baghdad; denoted by $x4$ ”

# WordNet and eXtended WordNet

Collections of classifications of words (nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs), including:

- description of meaning;
- examples of use.

## WordNet

- Information is mainly unstructured, in natural language.

## eXtended WordNet

- Information extracted from WordNet, structured using XML;
- examples encoded using logic forms, parse trees.

## TTUq's Input Language

Collection of statements of the form:

- $h(P, S)$ : property  $P$  holds at step  $S$  of the story, e.g.

$h(at(john, paris), 0)$ .

- $o(A, S)$ : action  $A$  occurs at step  $S$  of the story, e.g.

$o(go\_on(john, trip(paris, baghdad)), 0)$ .

- $rel(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ : objects  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are in relation  $rel$ , e.g.

$destination(trip(paris, baghdad), baghdad)$ .

# Outline

- Introduction

## ⇒ **Issues Involved**

- Approach
- Overall Achievements
- Technical Achievements
- Conclusions



# Issues

## 1. The languages are different in nature

- ◇ NLPt's: statements about *parts of speech*; temporal sequence of events is *implicit*.
- ◇ TTUq's: statements about *objects, their properties/relations, and about occurrence of actions*; temporal sequence of events is *explicit*.

*Example.* “The train is at the station. The train is number 176. Number 176 is scheduled to depart at 10:30am.”

- NLPt: sentence 1 is about NN “train”; sentence 3 is about NNC “number 176.”
- TTUq: sentences should be about the same *object*: “train” / “number 176.”

## Issues (cont'd)

### 2. Different role of knowledge

- ◇ Understanding output of NLPt requires extra, *implicit*, domain knowledge.
- ◇ TTUq requires all relevant knowledge to be encoded.

*Example.* “John was on the train that arrived at NYC at 1:30pm. Was he at the station at 1:31pm?”

- NLPt: sentence 1 is about “NYC”; sentence 2 is about “the station.”
- TTUq: proper answer requires (at least) knowledge that, normally, trains stop at a town’s station.

*Part of this knowledge can be extracted from the WordNet and eXtended WordNet databases.*

## Issues (cont'd)

### 3. Words with multiple meanings

#### Example

“John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad.”

- ◇ “take\_VB\_11” means “going on a trip.”
- ◇ Object of “take\_VB\_11” is *means of transportation*.
- ◇ Mapping from “parameters” of a verb to TTUq’s relations entirely depends on the meaning of the verb.

*Specific knowledge about take\_VB\_11 is necessary for the mapping.*

# Outline

- Introduction
- Issues Involved

## ⇒ **Approach**

- Overall Achievements
- Technical Achievements
- Conclusions

# Logic Forms to A-Prolog Translator

Query in natural language



Natural language parsing from LCC



*General Translation Algorithm (G2L)*

+

*Declarative Knowledge*



Q/A with TTU's reasoning system



**Answer**

# General Translation Algorithm (G2L)

General transformations to make statements suitable for use with action theories, e.g.:

- verbs  $\Rightarrow$  events or properties;
- translation of “identity” statements (e.g. “the train is an Acela-Express 176”);
- prepositions  $\Rightarrow$  event’s parameters;
- generation of default temporal sequence of events;
- identification and translation of the question.

# Declarative Knowledge

- Events  $\Rightarrow o(A, S)$  statements.
- Properties  $\Rightarrow h(P, S)$  statements.
- Event's params  $\Rightarrow rel(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  statements.
- Domain-independent knowledge:
  - ◇ links events involving objects that are identical;
  - ◇ distinguishes between date/time specifications and space specifications.
- Domain-dependent knowledge:
  - ◇ meaning of events and of their parameters, e.g.  
take\_VB\_11  $\Rightarrow$  action go\_on;
  - ◇ adjustment of the temporal sequence produced by G2L.

# The Translator In Action

## Sentence

John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad.

## G2L produces:

event(e1,take,11).

happened(e1).

raw\_event\_actor(e1, john).

raw\_object(e1, plane).

raw\_parameter(e1, from, 1, paris).

raw\_parameter(e1, to, 1, baghdad).

suggested\_step(e1, 0).

## Domain Knowledge Needed (partial):

o(go\_on(ACT, Obj), S) ←  
  event(E, take, 11),  
  happened(E),  
  event\_actor(E, ACT),  
  object(E, Obj),  
  suggested\_step(E, S).

dest(Obj, DEST) ←  
  event(E, take, 11),  
  happened(E),  
  parameter(E, to, 1, DEST),  
  object(E, Obj).



# Outline

- Introduction
- Issues Involved
- Approach
- ⇒ **Overall Achievements**
  - Technical Achievements
  - Conclusions

# Summary

## Test queries

- Performed: translation and query-answering.
- Result: correct answers obtained for all queries.

## Steve's travel-related query (travel-1)

- Performed: translation and Q/A for a simplified query.
- Result: correct answers obtained.

## Test Queries

- John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. Is John in Baghdad?
- John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. The plane has scheduled intermediate stops in Berlin and Rome. Is John in Baghdad?
- **(Variant of above)** John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. The plane has scheduled intermediate stops in Berlin and Rome. *Where is John?*
- John is in Paris. John packs John's laptop in John's carry-on luggage and takes a plane to Baghdad. Where is John's laptop?

## Steve's (Simplified) Query

- The train stood at the Amtrak station in Washington DC (at 10:00am on March 15, 2005).
- The station was Union Station.
- The train was an Acela-Express 176.
- The 176 was scheduled to depart for NYC (at 10:30am) and arrive (at 1:30pm on March 15).
- John arrived by taxi at Union Station (at 10:15am).
- John boarded the Acela-Express (at 10:20am) and handed the train ticket to the conductor.
- The conductor punched the ticket.
- John sat by the window.
- The train left the station on time.

*Where is John at the end of the trip?*

## Difficulties in Steve's Query

- Frequent use of compound nouns, e.g. "Acela-Express 176."
- Parts of compound nouns use to denote the whole noun, e.g. "Acela-Express" instead of "Acela-Express 176."
- Multiple nouns denote the same object, e.g. "train", "Acela-Express", "176."
- Combination of verb "scheduled" with two verbs, whose arguments describe the trip, e.g. "scheduled to depart for NYC..."
- Use of locations and *sub-locations*, e.g. "at the Amtrak station in Washington DC."

# Outline

- Introduction
- Issues Involved
- Approach
- Overall Achievements
- ⇒ **Technical Achievements**
- Conclusions

# Summary

## Translation of

- questions “is [...] at/in [location]?”, “where is ...?”;
- possessive relation (e.g. “John’s laptop”);
- phrases used as objects (verb “to schedule” only), e.g. “the train was scheduled to depart [...] and to arrive [...]”;
- conjunction, when used with prepositions and in “subordinate phrases” (see previous item);
- verbs denoting identity, e.g. “the train is an Acela-Express 176”;
- compound nouns (NNC) used in objects of verbs denoting identity.

## Summary (cont'd)

### Interpretation of meaning

- ability to preserve sentence connection, e.g.  
“John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad” and  
“the plane has scheduled stops in Berlin and Rome”;
- extension of sentence connection by using identity relations,  
e.g. “The train is at the station. The train is the 176. The  
176 is scheduled to depart at 10:30am”;
- filtering of date/time specs when looking for space specs.
- encoding of the meaning of a substantial number of verbs  
(be\_VB\_2, be\_VB\_3, take\_VB\_11, pack\_VB\_1, schedule\_VB\_1,...).



# G2L-Translation of Query 1

“John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. Is John in Baghdad?”

```
event(e1,take,11).
happened(e1).
raw_event_actor(e1,john).
raw_object(e1,plane).
raw_parameter(e1,from,1,paris).
raw_parameter(e1,to,1,baghdad).
suggested_step(e1, 0).
```

```
answer_true(q(e1)) ←
    h(at(john, baghdad), n).

answer_false(q(e1)) ←
    ¬h(at(john, baghdad), n).

type_query(q(e1), boolean).
```

## G2L-Translation of Query 2

“John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. The plane has scheduled intermediate stops in Berlin and Rome. Is John in Baghdad?”

```
event(e1,take,11).
happened(e1).
raw_event_actor(e1, john).
raw_object(e1, plane).
raw_parameter(e1, from, 1, paris).
raw_parameter(e1, to, 1, baghdad).
```

```
event(e2,schedule,1).
happened(e2).
raw_event_actor(e2, plane).
raw_object(e2, stop).
raw_parameter(stop, in, 1, berlin).
raw_parameter(stop, in, 2, rome).
```

```
next(e1, e2).
suggested_step(e1, 0).
suggested_step(e2, 1).
```

```
answer_true(q(e1)) ←
    h(at(john, baghdad), n).

answer_false(q(e1)) ←
    ¬h(at(john, baghdad), n).

type_query(q(e1), boolean).
```

## G2L-Translation of Query 3

“John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. The plane has scheduled intermediate stops in Berlin and Rome. Where is John?”

```
event(e1,take,11).
happened(e1).
raw_event_actor(e1, john).
raw_object(e1, plane).
raw_parameter(e1, from, 1, paris).
raw_parameter(e1, to, 1, baghdad).
```

```
event(e2,schedule,1).
happened(e2).
raw_event_actor(e2, plane).
raw_object(e2, stop).
raw_parameter(stop, in, 1, berlin).
raw_parameter(stop, in, 2, rome).
```

```
next(e1, e2).
suggested_step(e1, 0).
suggested_step(e2, 1).
```

```
answer_true(q1(C)) ←
    h(at(john, C), n).
```

```
type_query(q1(C), find).
```

## G2L-Translation of Query 4

“John is in Paris. John packs John’s laptop in John’s carry-on luggage and takes a plane to Baghdad. Where is John’s laptop?”

```
h_at(at(john, paris), e1).
```

```
event(e2,pack,1).
```

```
happened(e2).
```

```
raw_event_actor(e2,john).
```

```
raw_object(e2,laptop(john)).
```

```
raw_parameter(e2,in,1,lugg(john)).
```

```
event(e3,take,11).
```

```
happened(e3).
```

```
raw_event_actor(e3,john).
```

```
raw_object(e3,plane).
```

```
raw_parameter(e3,to,1,baghdad).
```

```
next(e1, e2).
```

```
next(e2, e3).
```

```
suggested_step(e1, 0).
```

```
suggested_step(e2, 1).
```

```
suggested_step(e3, 2).
```

```
answer_true(q1(C)) ←
```

```
h(at(laptop(john), C), n).
```

```
type_query(q1(C), find).
```

## G2L-Translation of Steve's Query

```
event(e1,stand,3).
happened(e1).
raw_event_actor(e1,train).
raw_parameter(e1,in,1,washdc).
raw_parameter(e1,at,1,station).
raw_parameter(e1,at,2,n1000am).
raw_parameter(e1,on,1,n031505).
```

```
event(e3,schedule,1).
happened(e3).
raw_event_actor(e3,number176).
subordinate(raw_object,e3,e4).
subordinate(raw_object,e3,e5).
```

```
event(e4,depart,1).
happened(e4).
raw_event_actor(e4,number176).
raw_parameter(e4,at,1,n1030am).
raw_parameter(e4,for,1,nyc).
```

```
event(e5,arrive,1).
happened(e5).
raw_event_actor(e5,number176).
raw_parameter(e5,at,1,n130pm).
raw_parameter(e5,on,1,mar15).
```

```
same(unstat, station).
same(union, station).
same(station, station).
```

```
same(acela176, train).
same(acelaexpress, train).
same(number176, train).
```

```
answer_true(q1(C)) ←
    h(at(john, C), n).
```

```
type_query(q1(C), find).
```

# Domain-Independent Knowledge

```
%% raw_XXX: produced by G2L from output of NLPT
```

```
event_actor(E,ACTOR) :-  
    raw_event_actor(E,ACTOR'),  
    same_as(ACTOR',ACTOR).
```

```
object(E,Obj) :-  
    raw_object(E,Obj'),  
    same_as(Obj',Obj).
```

```
parameter(E,TYPE,NUM,PARM) :-  
    raw_parameter(E,TYPE,NUM,PARM'),  
    same_as(PARM',PARM).
```

```
%% Definition of same_as (simplified)  
%% [transitive closure of relation "same"]
```

```
same_as(X,Y) :-  
    same(X,Y).  
same_as(X,Y) :-  
    same(X,Z),  
    same_as(Z,Y).
```

## Domain Knowledge: Going on Trips

$o(\text{go\_on}(\text{ACTOR}, \text{Obj}), \text{STEP}) \leftarrow$   
event(E, take, 11),  
happened(E),  
event\_actor(E, ACTOR),  
object(E, Obj),  
suggested\_step(E, STEP).

$\text{trip}(\text{Obj}) \leftarrow$   
event(E, take, 11),  
happened(E),  
object(E, Obj).

$h(\text{trip\_by}(\text{Obj}, \text{Obj}), \text{STEP}) \leftarrow$   
event(E, take, 11),  
happened(E),  
object(E, Obj),  
suggested\_step(E, STEP).

$\text{actor}(\text{go\_on}(\text{ACT}, \text{Obj}), \text{ACT}) \leftarrow$   
event(E, take, 11),  
happened(E),  
event\_actor(E, ACT),  
object(E, Obj).

$\text{origin}(\text{Obj}, \text{ORIG}) \leftarrow$   
event(E, take, 11),  
happened(E),  
parameter(E, from, 1, ORIG),  
object(E, Obj).

$\text{dest}(\text{Obj}, \text{DEST}) \leftarrow$   
event(E, take, 11),  
happened(E),  
parameter(E, to, 1, DEST),  
object(E, Obj).

## Going on Trips (cont'd)

```
leg_of(Trip,ORIGIN,LEG1) ←  
  event(E,schedule,1),  
  happened(E), object(E,stop),  
  event_actor(E,Trip),  
  origin(Trip,ORIGIN),  
  parameter(stop,in,1,LEG1).  
  
leg_of(Trip,LEGA,LEGB) ←  
  event(E,schedule,1),  
  happened(E), object(E,stop),  
  event_actor(E,Trip),  
  parameter(stop,in,N,LEGA),  
  parameter(stop,in,N+1,LEGB).
```

```
leg_of(Trip,LEGN,DEST) ←  
  event(E,schedule,1),  
  happened(E), object(E,stop),  
  event_actor(E,Trip),  
  num_stops(Trip,N),  
  parameter(stop,in,N,LEGN),  
  dest(Trip,DEST).  
  
num_stops(Trip,N) ←  
  has_atleast(Trip,N),  
  not has_atleast(Trip,N+1).  
  
has_atleast(Trip,N+1) ←  
  event(E,schedule,1),  
  happened(E), object(E,stop),  
  event_actor(E,Trip),  
  parameter(stop,in,N,LEGA),  
  parameter(stop,in,N+1,LEGB).
```



## Domain Knowledge: Misc

### %% Knowledge about Packing

```
o(pack(ACTOR,WHAT,WHERE),STEP) ←  
    event(E,pack,1),  
    happened(E),  
    event_actor(E,ACTOR),  
    object(E,WHAT),  
    parameter(E,in,1,WHERE),  
    suggested_step(E,STEP).
```

```
actor(pack(ACTOR,WHAT,WHERE),ACTOR) ←  
    event(E,take,11),  
    happened(E),  
    event_actor(E,ACTOR),  
    object(E,WHAT),  
    parameter(E,in,1,WHERE).
```

### %% Properties of objects (Events $\Rightarrow$ Steps)

```
h(FI,STEP) ←  
    h_at(FI,E),  
    suggested_step(E,STEP).
```

# General Knowledge about Queries

**%% Knowledge about answering boolean queries**

%

yes ←

type\_query(Q,boolean),  
answer\_true(Q).

no ←

type\_query(Q,boolean),  
answer\_false(Q).

maybe ←

type\_query(Q,boolean),  
not yes,  
not no.

**%% Knowledge about answering “find” queries**

%

ans(Q) ←

type\_query(Q,find),  
answer\_true(Q).

# Outline

- Introduction
  - Issues Involved
  - Approach
  - Overall Achievements
  - Technical Achievements
- ⇒ **Conclusions**

## Usage of Translator and Q/A System

- Split logic form of paragraph in *affirmative part* and *question*.
- Store affirmative part in file “test1”.
- Store question in file “query1”.

- Run:

**translate.sh > test1.apl** *(on Unix)*

This invokes G2L and stores the output in file “test1.apl”.

- Run:

**lparse –true-negation -c n=7 test1.apl interface.pl travel  
| smodels | mkatoms**

This runs the inference engine and outputs the answer to the query. *File “interface.pl” contains the Declarative Knowledge necessary to complete the translation.*

## Output for the queries

- “John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. Is John in Baghdad?”  
Answer: **yes**  
**::endmodel**
- “John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. The plane has scheduled intermediate stops in Berlin and Rome. Is John in Baghdad?”  
Answer: **yes**  
**::endmodel**
- “John took the plane from Paris to Baghdad. The plane has scheduled intermediate stops in Berlin and Rome. Where is John?”  
Answer: **ans(q1(baghdad))**  
**::endmodel**
- “John is in Paris. John packs John’s laptop in John’s carry-on luggage and takes a plane to Baghdad. Where is John’s laptop?”  
Answer: **ans(q1(baghdad))**  
**::endmodel**
- Steve’s query: “where is John?”  
Answer: **ans(q1(nyc))**  
**::endmodel**

## Future Work

- Connection with other research work to allow reasoning about time, intentions and queries involving planning.
- Computation of the answer to Steve's original query.
- More general translation of conjunction and compound nouns.
- Encoding of the meaning of more verbs.